

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/976,001	10/15/2001	Takeshi Uchida	566.39787CX1	9500	
20457	7590 02/07/2003				
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS SUITE 1800			EXAMINER		
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET ARLINGTON, VA 22209			UMEZ ERONINI, LYNETTE T		
AKLINGTON	, VA 22209		ART UNIT PAPER NUM		
			1765		
			DATE MAILED: 02/07/2003		
				4	
				0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	mk
Office Action Summary		09/976,001	UCHIDA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		lynette Tillmer Frankri		
The MAILING DATE of this cor Period for Reply	nmunication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMI  - Extensions of time may be available under the pro- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi  - If the period for reply specified above is less than to - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxing - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for - Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704  Status	OD FOR REPLY MUNICATION.  visions of 37 CFR 1.136  is communication.  thirty (30) days, a reply w mum statutory period will or reply will, by statute, ca	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N  (a). In no event, however, may a ithin the statutory minimum of thin apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI	MONTH(S) FROM  reply be timely filed	
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on		,	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		· action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in con-	dition for allowand	a avant for farmal	Horo managed a second	
closed in accordance with the Disposition of Claims	practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	ners, prosecution as to the m D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	erits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending				
4a) Of the above claim(s)	in the application	), <i>-</i>		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	is/are withdrawn	from consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.			•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to				
8) Claim(s) are subject to re				
Application Papers	surction and/or el	ection requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by	v the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a		or b) Objected to by th	e Evaminor	
Applicant may not request that any	objection to the dra	awing(s) be held in abeva	nce See 37 CFR 1.85(a)	
11) The proposed drawing correction	filed on is:	a) ☐ approved b) ☐ dis	Sapproved by the Fyaminer	
If approved, corrected drawings are	e required in reply to	this Office action.	The state of the s	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	d to by the Exami	ner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	aim for foreign pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None o	of:			
1. Certified copies of the prior	ity documents ha	ve been received.		
2. Certified copies of the prior	ity documents ha	ve been received in App	olication No. 09/763,891 .	
3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Inte	es of the priority d	ocuments have been re	eceived in this National Stage	)
14) Acknowledgment is made of a clain	n for domestic prid	ority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a) (to a provinienal anali	
a)  The translation of the foreign 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	language provisio	nal application has bee	n received	cation).
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) Paper No(s) <u>4</u> .	4) Interview Sur 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_·
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action S	limmany	Part of Paner	

Application/Control Number: 09/976,001

**Art Unit: 1765** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 10/15/01 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because In Paper No., 4, references "AM", "AN", "AO" AND "AP" were not submitted and an English equivalence or translation were not provided. In Paper No. 5, references, "US 5,770,095, WO 98/04646, and JP-A-5-228528" were not properly cited on FORM PTO-1449. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 ¶ C(1).

#### Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 13, line 5, "ozoe" is misspelled.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/976,001

Art Unit: 1765

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

On line 3, "(3) a metal etching rate inhibitor" is indefinite because its meaning is unclear. Applicant's specification has failed to disclose and give examples of a metal etching rate inhibitor. With no guidance as to what is "a metal etching rate inhibitor," for the purpose of examination, "a corrosion inhibitor" would be searched.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sasaki et al. (US 5,607,718).

Sasaki discloses a solution mixture of an amine and hydrogen peroxide (same as applicant's oxidizing agent) water and no polishing particles were used in polishing aluminum plugs, in re Hayashi et al., (International Electron Devices Meeting Technical Digest, 1992, p. 976), (column 2, lines 43-50). The said solution reads on,

Application/Control Number: 09/976,001

Art Unit: 1765

a polishing solution for metal comprising (1) an additive which permits chemical mechanical polishing to be carried out without solid abrasive grains, (2) and oxidizing agent, and (3) water.

Applicant has referred to, "protective-film forming agent may preferably be at least one selected from ... compounds such as ... alkyl amines ... " (Specification, page 6, lines 16-20) and "polishing slurries for metal which are used in CMP are commonly comprised of an oxidizing agent and solid abrasive particle or powder and also an oxidized-metal dissolving agent and a protective-film forming agent which are optionally further added" (Specification, page 3, lines 3-7). Since the said compound are optionally added, then any one of them would inherently read on, applicant's additive, which permit chemical mechanical polishing to be carried out without solid abrasive grains, as in the claimed invention.

7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sasaki et al. (US 5,770,095).

Sasaki teaches a polishing agent which contains:

(1) an oxidizing agent (column 4, lines 50-52);

an amino acetic acid and/or an amidosulfuric acid (column 4, lines 50-52), which is the same as applicants, (2) an oxidized-metal dissolving agent;

benzotriazole (column 4, lines 50-52), which is capable of forming a chelate compound of a complex with the film material (i.e. metal, column 8, lines 2-4) to be etched (column 8, lines 20-24) and which "functions as a protection film to suppress

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/976,001

Art Unit: 1765

oxidization or corrosion (of the Cu film) . . . in the presence of an etching agent having a chemical corrosion range in which Cu can be etched at a high rate" would inherently read on, (3) a metal etching rate inhibitor; and

(4) water (column 4, lines 50-52).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynette T. Umez-Eronini whose telephone number is 703-306-9074. The examiner can normally unavailable on the First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech can be reached on 703-308-3836. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-972-9310 for regular communications and 703-972-9311 for After Final communications.

Itue January 25, 2003 BENJAMIN L. UTECH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700